Automatic Energy Efficiency Management of Data Center Servers Operated in Hot and Cold Standby and with Dynamic Voltage and Frequency Scaling (DVFS)

Paul J. Kühn University of Stuttgart, Germany Institute of Communication Networks and Computer Engineering (IKR) E-Mail: paul.j.kuehn@ikr.uni-stuttgart.de Phone: +49-711-685-68027

Dagstuhl Seminar 13131 "Future Internet" Dagstuhl, March 24 - 27, 2013 and KuVS/ITG 5.2.4 Working Group Meeting on "Competitive Service Delivery Infrastructures", Vodafone Training Center, Königswinter, April 17, 2013

# OUTLINE

- 1. Information Centric Networking
- 2. Content Distribution and Cloud Computing
- 3. Managing Content Distribution Networks (CDN)
- 4. Modeling Algorithms
- 5. Performance Analysis and Results
- 6. Modeling for Server Consolidation and Automatic Power Management
- 7. Load Balancing for Distributed Cloud Data Centers
- 8. Summary and Outlook

# **1. INFORMATION CENTRIC NETWORKING**

• Major Application Shifts in the Internet



- Paradigm Shifts
  - Transport Network
  - Fixed Infrastructure
  - End-to-End Control
  - Non-Realtime
  - Best Effort Service
- Current Internet

- ----> Information-Centric Network
- ----> Wireless and Mobile Infrastructure
- ----> Network Control
- ----> Realtime
- ----> Service-Oriented Network (QoS, QoE, SLA)
- ----> Next Generation / Future Internet

### 2. CONTENT DISTRIBUTION AND CLOUD COMPUTING - CLOUD ARCHITECTURES



#### 2. CONTENT DISTRIBUTION AND CLOUD COMPUTING - APPLICATIONS AND FUNCTIONS

CLOUD TYPES:	- Public, Private, Hybrid
CLOUD APPLICATIC	NS: - Data Retrieval (Web)
	- Content Delivery
	- Business Processes
	- Scientific Grid
	- Social Networking
CLOUD FUNCTIONS	S: - Resource Virtualization and Process Migration
	- Resource Sharing
INCENTIVES:	- Economics (Outsourcing/Insourcing of IT Services)
	- Reliability
	- Energy Reduction

### 2. CONTENT DISTRIBUTION AND CLOUD COMPUTING - RESEARCH ASPECTS

#### CLOUD ARCHITECTURES:

#### **RESOURCE MANAGEMENT:**

#### TRAFFIC ENGINEERING:

ECONOMIC ASPECTS:

- Process Migration
- Operating Systems, Hypervisor
- Security and Privacy Protection
- Storage Strategies
- Scheduling, Routing
- Admission/Flow/Congestion Control
- Cloud Traffic Volumes/Characteristics
- Traffic Matrix, Load Balancing
- Quality of Service/Experience (QoS/QoE)
- Tradeoff between Storage, Processing, and Communication
- Service Level Agreements
- Optimization

## 3. MANAGING CDNs - VIRTUALIZATION AND VM MIGRATION



- Cloud: Pool of Physical Resources Interconnected by Network
- VM: Virtual Machine Virtualized View on the Resource Pool
- VMM: VM Monitor ("Hypervisor") Mapping of VM to PM

## 3. MANAGING CDNs - VIRTUALIZATION AND VM MIGRATION



- Cloud: Pool of Physical Resources Interconnected by Network
- VM: Virtual Machine Virtualized View on the Resource Pool
- VMM: VM Monitor ("Hypervisor") Mapping of VM to PM

#### VM Migration:

- Change of Assignment VM --- PM
- Different Migration Strategies
   "Suspend-and-Copy"
   "Pre-Copy"
   "Post-Copy"

- Incentives Hot Spot Mitigation
  - Load Balancing
  - Server Consolidation ---
  - Performance/SLA
  - Economics

- ----> Overload Avoidance
- ----> Economic Capacity Utilization, Energy Saving
- ----> Avoiding "Sprawling" of Resources
- ----> Meeting RT Requirements
- ----> Trade-off between Storage Cost -- Communication Cost in Case of Content Storage Replication
- Content Location: Centralized or Decentralized
- Address Resolution by Publish/Subscribe Mechanism NNC (Network Named Content) Translation NNC ----> IP Address (Problem of the Legacy Internet without Identifier/Locator Split!)

## **3. MANAGING CDNs - CENTRALIZED STORAGE**



- Multicast Tree
- Minimum Storage Cost
- Maximum Communication Cost
- Maximum Latency
- High Risk, Reliability
  - User Host
- DC Data Center
- C<sub>0</sub> Content

Н



- Replication of Full Content C<sub>0</sub> by Content Migration
- Higher Storage Cost Less Communication Cost
- Short Latency
- Overhead Cost by Replication



- C<sub>0</sub> Full Content
- C<sub>i</sub> Partial Content

 $C_i \mathop{\subseteq} C_0$ 

$$C_2, C_3 \subseteq C_1$$

- Dynamic Replication
   Dependent on Actual Demand
- Replicated Content Storage Management by Caching + LRU Replacement Strategy (Least Recently Used)

Open Questions: Dependence on "Working Set" of Content? Caching of Content Fragments (Chunks, Packets, whole Objects)? Amount of Prefetching to Avoid Starvation? Performance, Energy Demand/Saving? Modeling Assumptions:

- Cloud with Distributed Data Centers
- NNC Address Resolution by Publish/Subscribe Service
- Multi-Server Model for DC Content Delivery
- Sleep Mode + Activation Delays for Multi-Core Nodes
- Self-Adapting Activation/Deactivation of Core Nodes within each DC (state-dependent; can be extended to Measurement- or Forecast-Based Operation)

**BASIC IDEAS:** - Self-Adapting Operation of Data Center Resources

- Local Monitoring of Load Development
- Local Control of Resource Activation/Deactivation by FSM

#### **BASIC MODEL:** - Uniform Services, N Data Centers

- Focus on Processing Resources only
- (n<sub>i</sub>,  $\rho_i$ ) Resource/Utilization Vector of DC<sub>i</sub>,  $i \in [1, N]$

### INDIVIDUAL DC MODEL



#### NON-ADAPTING MODEL BY FSM

(1) SINGLE HYSTERESIS MODEL



#### SELF-ADAPTING MODEL BY FSM

(2) MULTIPLE SERIAL HYSTERESIS MODEL



**SELF-ADAPTING MODEL BY FSM /** (3) MULTIPLE PARALLEL HYSTERESIS MODEL



# 5. PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS AND RESULTS (1)

### **MODEL ASSUMPTIONS**

- Load-Dependent Activation / Deactivation of Resources -
- Multiple Parallel Hysteresis Model with Server Activation Overhead
- Server Activation: after Server Booting, Queue Threshold Crossing, Process Migration
- Server Deactivation: only when a Server Becomes Idle or the System Becomes Empty (Server Consolidation)
- Notations:

λ	Arrival Rate (Requests, Data Units,)
μ	Service Rate of a Server
α	Activation Rate of a Triggered Server Activation
ρ	Utilization Factor ( $\rho = \alpha/\mu$ )
$E[T_W T_W>0]$	Mean Waiting Times of Delayed Requests
R <sub>A</sub>	Server Activation/Deactivation Rate
W(>t)/W	Compl. DF of Buffered Requests

# 5. PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS AND RESULTS (2)

### NUMERICAL EVALUATION

- 1st Choice: Based on the fundamental solutions of Ibe/Keilson by Green's Function
  - Result: Numerically too complex
- 2nd Choice: Based on the fundamental solutions of Lui/Golubchik by Stochastic Complement Analysis
  - Result: Numerically too complex
- 3rd Choice: New solution by iterative recursions
  - **Result:** Extremely fast and numerically stable Extension to DF of delays Optimization wrt performance constraints
- Extensions

In all solution methods certain generalizations are possible as

- bulk arrivals
- inclusion of activation overhead
- inclusion of look-ahead activations

# 5. PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS AND RESULTS (3)

### NUMERICAL RESULTS (One DC only)

MULTIPLE SERIAL HYSTERESIS MODEL Probabilities of State



# 5. PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS AND RESULTS (4)

### NUMERICAL RESULTS (One DC only)

MULTIPLE SERIAL HYSTERESIS MODEL Server Activation / Deactivation Rate



# 5. PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS AND RESULTS (5)

### NUMERICAL RESULTS (One DC only)

MULTIPLE SERIAL HYSTERESIS MODEL Mean Waiting Time of Delayed Requests



# 5. PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS AND RESULTS (6)

### NUMERICAL RESULTS (One DC only)

MULTIPLE PARALLEL HYSTERESIS MODEL Mean Waiting Time of Delayed Requests



# 5. PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS AND RESULTS (7)

### NUMERICAL RESULTS (One DC only)

MULTIPLE PARALLEL HYSTERESIS MODEL Compl. DF of Buffered Requests



Conditions for the FSM Control:

- Multiple hysteresis thresholds for automatic adaptation to variable load
- Buffering of requests to throttle down frequent server activations
- Serving of tasks with maximum possible service rates by activated servers
- Throttling of server deactivations by Dynamic Frequency Scaling (DFS)
- Two server deactivation modes:
  - Server Cold Standby (CSB)
  - Server Hot Standby (HSB) (Sleeping Mode)
- ---> Booting required for activation
- ---> Warmup required for activation
  - (Realized by Dyn. Voltage Scaling, DVS)
- All requirements can be met by a pseudo-2-dimensional FSM
- Exact analysis by fast recursive algorithm under Markovian traffic Assumptions
- Parameters:  $\lambda$  task (job) arrival rate (1/ $\lambda$  mean interarrival time)
  - $\mu$  task service rate (1/ $\mu$  mean service time)
  - $\alpha$  server activation rate (1/ $\alpha$  mean activation time for booting/warmup)
  - $\mu^*$  reduced service rate by DFS





 Multiple Parallel Hystereses Multi-Server Queuing System with/without Activation Overhead and DFS **NUMERICAL RESULTS (One DC only):** Probability State Distributions



Figure: Probability of 'x' active servers vs. offered load n = 100, s = 300,  $\alpha = 1$ , variable w

NUMERICAL RESULTS (One DC only): Server Activation Rate



Figure: Server activation rate vs. offered load n = 100, s = 300,  $\alpha = 1$ , variable w

NUMERICAL RESULTS (One DC only): Mean Delay



Figure: Mean delay of delayed frames vs. offered load

n = 100, s = 200, w = 2, variable  $\alpha/\mu$ 

### STATIC LOAD BALANCING ALGORITHM

- Algorithm Steps
  - 1. Determine the maximum load that could be handled by each data center  $A_{(max,i)} = [function (n_i) | t_w < t_{SLA}]$
  - Determine the load margin ΔA(i) = A<sub>i</sub> A<sub>(max,i)</sub> If ΔA(i) > 0: Data center i is overloaded and the extra load ΔA(i) needs to be shifted to another data center.
     If ΔA(i) ≤ 0: Data center i can still handle extra load equal to ΔA(i) without affecting its

performance.

- 3. For DCs whose  $\Delta A(i) > 0$ , shift this amount of load to the nearest DC who can accommodate this load shift, fully or partially.
- 4. Repeat the above steps until no more load shifting is necessary.

### DYNAMIC LOAD BALANCING ALGORITHM

- Assumptions and Migration Condition
  - N data centers are involved in the load balancing process
  - Each data center has  $n_i$  servers and load  $A_i = \lambda_i / \mu_i$
  - 2-dimensional FSM, states ( $x_i$ , $z_i$ ),  $x_i$  # of busy servers,  $z_i$  # buffered jobs
  - Each data center is operated according to the Multiple Parallel Hystereses
  - Data centers distribute their actual man job waiting times E[T<sub>Wi</sub>] periodically
  - Time for a process (job) migration to another DC  $\rm t_m$
  - Service level agreement (QoE) by job waiting time threshold  $\ensuremath{t_{W0}}$
  - Logical condition C job migration (C = TRUE):

$$\textbf{C} = \left(\frac{z_i}{n_i \mu_i} \geq t_{W0}\right) \land \left( E[T_{Wj}] + t_m < \frac{z_i}{n_i \mu_i} \right) \text{ for all } j \neq i$$

# 8. SUMMARY AND OUTLOOK

- Internet Paradigm Shift: Information Transport ----> Information Centric Network
- Cloud Server Virtualization allows for Flexible Content Distribution and Access
- Network Named Content vs. Network Caching
- Models for Self-Adapting DC Server Activation/Deactivation
- Trade-off between Power Reduction and Performance
- Algorithm for Load Balancing and Server Consolidation

### Outlook

- Realistic Cloud Application Classes
- Refined Models for DC Architectures and Operations
- Cost Optimization