Nokia Siemens Networks

Application of Distributed Database Concepts to RAN Configuration Management

Henning Sanneck, Christoph Schmelz
Nokia Siemens Networks

Alan Southall, Joachim Sokol, Christian Kleegrewe, Christoph Gerdes
Siemens Corporate Technology

VDE/ITG Workshop „Network Databases“, May 21st, 2007.

Outline

Goal

Automated assurance of network-wide configuration data consistency

Use cases: Network optimization and growth

Example: cell adjacency management

Proposed solution: Transaction-oriented CM data management subsystem

Integration into the element management architecture

Conclusions

General problem statement

Requirement for an element management system (EMS):

The consistency of configuration data

- Between NEs and EMS
- Between NEs (dependencies)

needs to be assured at all times.



Error sources	*Description*
Non-ideal system components	O&M network links: limited bandwidth, link interruptions, NEs may fail
Concurrency	Multiple sources of configuration changes (planning, multiple operators, local changes)
Limited roll-out time	Service-affecting configuration changes can only be rolled out during defined time windows (night hours, weekends)
Logical errors	Misconfiguration (human factor)

→ Application of Distributed Database Concepts (bi-directional synchronization, locking / rollbacks → transactions)

Specific problem statement for RAN Configuration Management (3G and beyond)

Category	*RAN CM property*	*Requirements to a full solution*
Roll-out phase	Few dependencies* comprising only small NE groups, but crucial and existent in numerous NE	Assurance of inter-NE consistency with adaptive commit strategy (not just 2PC**)
	Current management protocols: inefficient for delta configuration	Transaction-oriented protocol
	NEs need to function autonomously ("NE is the master of its data"), but no atomic operation at NE	Transactions at NE (& EMS) level
	Lack of speed	Parallelization of transactions
Alignment phase	Bulk alignment → reduced up-to-dateness	Delta alignment
Non-functional properties	Low O&M link bandwidth (Node B today: 128 kbit/s)	Bandwidth efficiency
	O&M link on microwave (Node B); planning / operator / local configuration changes	Robustness, "online" assurance of consistency
	Numerous NE	Scalability
	Manual work (NE configuration) in case of errors (→ downtime)	Efficiency through automation (network configuration)

•Dependencies: cell handover adjacencies, transport connections, security information

** 2PC: Two-phase commit: *all* NE of a group signal "ready to commit"; EMS triggers commit

Use cases in RAN Configuration Management (3G and beyond)

Network optimization (Prio 1):

- Large radio network plan update
  - Example: regular plan exchange (monthly), e.g., to improve load balancing among RNCs (radio), minimize leased line expenditures (transport), accommodate changed user requirements due to an upcoming event
- Manual update of radio network covering multiple NE
  - Examples: correct radio configuration deficiency covering several RNCs, reconfiguration of a Node B cascade

Network growth (Prio 2):

- Addition / rehoming of Node Bs(attention of human operator required anyway, support useful)

Assumptions for the evolution of the use cases:

- Distribution: numerous NE involved in CM (3G LTE), increasing number of NE
- Dynamics: more frequent reconfiguration of NEs to satisfy changing user demands (enabler: remote electric antenna tilting) → >1 network plan per network, change of plan over time (of day, of year)
- Diversity: integrated heterogeneous access networks (3G/3G LTE/WiMax)

Example workflow for adjacency management: today



Example workflow for adjacency management: future





High-level workflow:

(Automated) operator decision between

- Temporary revocation of dependency & rescheduling of few transactions (this example)

  Update ADJC cell #1: B

- NE group-wide rollback to state A (e.g., for case *Update cell #7: failure)*

  Rollback HO params. cell #7
  Rollback ADJC cell #1
  Rollback ADJC cell #2

Scope of configuration change	*Hierarchy level*
Network	Network Transaction
NE group	Transaction Group
Single NE	Transaction
Single operation on NE	Command

Generic master-replica data management model



Integration into the element management architecture



Policy Examples for Automated Rescheduling / Rollback

Policy properties:

- hierarchically organized (atomic and derived polices)
- tool-box supporting remote editing
- optimizing and learning process
- smooth migration and development possible
- high-level language necessary
- monitoring, tracing, evaluation (appropriate GUI requested)
- complexity vs. automation
- transparency vs. control
- potential for OPEX reduction

→ policy environments for automated rescheduling and rollback are feasible already now, with IPDE as long-term goal



Proposed solution: master-replica data management subsystem

Category	*Requirements to a full solution*	*Solution properties*
Roll-out phase	Assurance of inter-NE consistency	Transaction compiler: generates transactions from delta between recent and planned view (input: dependencies, execution plan) Transaction manager: rolls-out and monitors transactions
	Transactions at EMS level	
	Parallelization	
	Automation	
	Transaction-oriented protocol	Transaction-oriented protocol between master / replica (=NE), transactions at replica
Alignment phase	Delta alignment	Middleware (Transaction manager): controls access to master by replicas Protocol: delta updates as transactions
Non-functional properties	Bandwidth efficiency	Protocol: delta configuration changes
	Robustness, “online” assurance of consistency	Middleware: concurrency awareness Protocol: reliable messaging, transactions
	Scalability	Protocol / Middleware: several 100 replicas tested
	Efficiency through automation	Middleware: network (not NE)-level interface

Summary



Conclusions

- Improvement of CM data consistency (NE/EMS & inter-NE), degree of automation
  - Manufacturer: reduced and simplified CM software development:
    - State-of-the-art data management technology can be applied
    - Applications do not need to consider low-level data consistency
  - Mobile Network Operator:
    - OPEX reduction (less (skilled) operational personnel needed)
    - Increasingly important with 3G RAN evolution (LTE)
- Parallel operation to legacy CM protocols possible
- Partial introduction possible (transaction manager at EMS only)
- Info model upgrades can be nicely integrated into the roll-out process
- Proof-of-concept implementation has been done at Nokia Siemens Networks

- Future work: policy development process (encapsulating human operator‘s knowledge) based on operational experience