



P2P beyond Voice

P2P has other business cases than telephony

SIEMENS

Wilhelm Wimmreuter May 2006

Objectives of this session

Recent P2P deals created a lot of rumors!

- **What is their real business case**
- **Why?**
- **Where are the opportunities?**

- **You are invited to think about this**
... so we can leverage on that

We focus on Business-case related things to save time

Content

P2P has other business cases than telephony

Recent development

- Overheard at VoN and recent discussions
... P2P and other topics
 - Talks about the recent P2P deal
 - Assets of a Skype (P2P) Network
 - Other Players

There are Opportunities

- Migration of Function, Cost and Price
- New Pricing Model; free Voice for Marketing
- P2P and Trust and Content and Micro-Payment and CPEs
- Communities are the tool of the trade

Overheard at VoN

- **Forget VoIP - Access is the Issue**
- **Network Independent Services**
- **Session Border Controllers**
- **P2P Services**
Will they become the Softswitch in the CPE?
- **Skype vs. other P2P approaches**

- **Legal intercept**
The Incumbents excuse to deploy legacy - will fade away!

Talks about the recent P2P deal History of the Skype deal

1+

Skype tries to sell itself and hires Morgan Stanley **Spring 05**

Murdoch tries to buy Skype for \$3 Billion **July 05**

Carriers shall buy Skype **Aug 05**

Several telcos, cellcos and cablecos were in the game
Vonage broke the seal and they left.

Ebay takes over Skype for **Sep/12 05**

\$1.3 billion in cash and \$1.3 billion in stock
\$1.3 in two Years if certain targets are met

Talks about the recent P2P deal Try some reasoning why

2-

- **Price per user is estimated at about \$150**
For \$2.6 Billion this adds up to about 17,5 Mio (active) users
This is about 1/10th of the costs per user in a TDM system
- **However, this can not be all**
Skype users need access too! So is the price per user \$150 + \$1500?
- **Skype is a Network-Independent-Service**
Regardless of the network operator, Skype runs on any IP network.
- **Provides multiple services**
Authentication, Authorization, Encryption, Micro-billing, Transport, Presence, Messaging, Secure-File-Transfer, etc.
- **Has a free marketing tool that replaces busloads of people**
Free phone-calls are the tool to attract aunt Amy.

Sources: Om Malik, Jeff Pulver, David Isenberg etc.

- **Simplicity**
Install, execute and sign in.
- **NAT & Firewall traversal**
Almost every gateway can be bypassed
- **Extensibility**
Easy add-on and backward compatibility
- **Multi platform application**
Windows XP & CE, Linux
- **Ownership / responsibility of Information storage**
All, except credentials is stored at the CPE

- **User Peers**
Multi Platform Software that runs on Win 2k – XP – CE, Linux
 - Published API to allow Skype being called by other programs
 - Stringent NDAs for Manufacturers of embedded HW
- **Service Peers**
Seed Servers, Trust Servers, Billing Servers,
interfaces to external Trust centers
- **Gateway Peers**
PSTN & IP gateways with SIP Interfaces
Suppliers need to sign tough NDAs to get credentials

- **Trust Information**
This repository gains value by the number of users, sessions handled and deposit made.
- **Micro-Billing**
The industry has not managed this in the last decade; Skype has it!
- **Encryption**
Allows secure communication between peers.
File-Transfer, Information sharing, Content delivery, ...
- **Skype-Out; Dial out feature**
Allows users to call PSTN; ... deposits are the real value ;-)
- **Skype-In: Dial-IN feature**
Users need to rent Dial-In PSTN numbers

- **Carrier Peering**

With Skype-Out, Skype needed PSTN peering partners
2002-2003 Skype attracted a number of operators to offload PSTN traffic. Now they serve virtually all countries.

... sometimes they go across borders through PSTN

Skype-In followed later (currently in about 10 countries).

- **Franchising business with SIP interconnect gateways**

Attracts users enough to deposit money

- **Carrier overlay Service**

First company was Hutchinson (3) in Hong Kong in 2003

E-plus is the first German company that signed a contract in 2005

- **They provide “Business Groups” / P2P-Centrex?**

Group features for collaboration and dial-out

- **Gizmo Project** <http://www.gizmoproject.com>
Peer with all major VoIP providers
Additional features like Location-Maps
Seek to partner with communities/companies with > 1 Mio users
- **Damaka** <http://www.damaka.com>
Migrate the “Personal” Softswitch to the users CPE
Additional features like Whether, Stock quotes etc.
Keep their partners secret. But they are in Richardson ;-)
- **JaJah** <http://www.jajah.at>
Interwork with open SIP and Skype
Additional Features like weather and Video SMS etc.
Partners Global Crossing, 3United, ...

- **Siemens Products**
 - HiPath 1000 P2P; (Small P2P PABX)
 - Peer things; (Large P2P System)
- **Siemens Prototypes**

P2P Database-Applications (RMF), Location-Tracing systems, etc.
RMF = Resource Management Function
- **Nimcat; (now Avaya)**

Enterprise P2P communication system
Siemens-Venture-Capital has / had assets in this company

There are many more, but these are with interesting partnerships or service-package offerings

Other P2P players Are they all on sale?

3-

- **Skype to Ebay** **Sept. 05**
Big bucks for phone-calls?
... there must be more
- **Nimcat to Avaya** **Oct. 05**
Avaya had no stake in SIP - they're a H.323 shop
With Nimcat, they are in SIP and in P2P at once
- **JaJah to Comcast?** **? Dec. 05**
This are rumors at present but could become true quickly
SIP, SMS, Video and other open interfaces are a good choice for

There are Opportunities

With Internet communication various entities migrate

- **Session control migrates to the user endpoint**

Thus less intelligence is required for session control

- **Central CPUs had 100% spare CPU capacity**
- **A user with 7 sessions a day now has +1000% spare CPU capacity
Wintel and Power Stations likes this ;-)**
- **Operators need less CPU power and air-conditioning**
- **Operators have less Session-Control
The price for time and distance fades away**
- **Users store their session context in their Endpoint
Operators will not be responsible for loss of information**
- **Will transport migrate to the user as well?
... offload the core, Tier2, and let the user pay!**

- **Services like Content-Servers migrate to the edge of the Network**
They are just like users with fat pipes
 - Operators can provide such Tier2 services besides transport
 - Operators can provide Bandwidth saving for these Tier 2 providers
- **Users interact with their service providers using any network**
 - Users and Services need independent 3rd parties for trust and billing
 - One network-independent trust provider all services a user needs
- **The resistance to fulfill this opportunities created room for P2P**
 - File, sharing and voice have been their initial playground
 - Now we can go a step further

In consequence

- **Costs migrate to the edge of the network**
 - Users spend more for CPE, Bandwidth, Power, and Configuration
 - Service providers pay for their equipment and pay for fat pipes
 - Transport operators get income from both sides, or provide services themselves
- **Price for network transport decreases**
 - Both sides (server and user) pay
 - New technology allows cheaper access with less control
- **Network independent services like P2P**
 - leveraged on the affordable transport

New Pricing Model: “Free internal Voice”

A cheap marketing engine for other services

- **P2P makes users to pay for transport themselves**
 - So why not give internal voice away for free?
 - In exchange, providers get user information, trust and billing
 - This enables advertisement, authorization and billing for other services
... Gateway, Location, SMS, Data Storage

BTWY: This is true for all Network-Independent services like sipgate ...
- **People see free internal voice as a big saving**
 - This is only true in a package deal!
Pure PSTN is way cheaper! ... in most countries
 - Smart people use this fact to save on Marketing for their P2P services
People even call each other on PSTN to advertise P2P ;-)
- **Time and distance pricing is therefore lost in the long run**
 - Bandwidth, Subscription, and Content will be paid in future
- likely to different providers

These systems peer, since their common enemy is the incumbent

- **Trust is the ultimate user information a provider can handle**
 - It includes **Identity** and **Credentials** to **Authenticate** the user
 - Providers will fight to hold this information at subscription prices
- **Content will be one of the few things a user pays for**
 - Delivered only to trusted users
- **Micro-Billing to get money for Transport, Trust and Content**
 - Micro-Billing must be trustworthy, cheap and service independent
Only then users and service-providers will use it
 - Skype is the only company that has it so far
- **CPEs will come as Programs and Standalone-Appliances**
 - PC-dependent Client Software will be virtually free (Marketing)
 - Luxury versions of Software that integrates to the workspace will cost
 - A myriad of new standalone-appliances will cost quite some money.

- **Business model for trust services**
 - Free trust to attract users and leverage on other services like billing
Remember being holder of this information can be worth the cost!
 - Subscription based trust service based on max-value of transaction
 - Trust services sponsored by service provider(s)
 - **Trust is the root to all assertions needed for transactions**
 - Authentication / Identity-, Authorization-, Billing- and Payment- assertion
 - **P2P Trust-Service realization options**
 - Service peers (distributed peer servers with function and data)
 - Trust proxy (forwards trust requests to 3rd parties like Verisign)
 - Centralized trust service (old fashioned, expensive, non carrier grade)
- Trust services shall be open to outside users and services!

- **Business model for Micro-Billing services**
 - With the exception of Skype, there is no such system that has the potential to bill network independent services
 - Service providers will share their income with the most efficient billing
 - Prepaid systems **reduce the risk for the user** and allow additional income on interest
 - All systems discussed over the last 15 years failed except Skype They were network bound or way too complex.
- **Various Payment assertions / methods can be used**
 - Prepaid, Credit-Card, Debt-orders
- **P2P Micro-Billing realization options**
 - Service peers (distributed peer servers interfaces to trust, and payment)
 - Centralized payment service

- **Business model for P2P content services**
 - Fact: Users want to have the content they are in demand of Music, Video, Documents / News, etc.
 - New: P2P content distribution saves many fat pipes for the content server. Users will pay for the majority of the transport.
- **P2P Content-distribution realization options**
 - Centralized content servers distribute to the initial 5 or more users all other users receive only decryption keys and references to user-peers holding this information already.
 - Content distribution service peers
They are more distributed and can share load in busy hours

- **Business model for P2P CPEs**
 - CPEs remove the need to run User-Peers on the PC.
 - Users are willing to pay for appliances and thus will pay extra if their SetTop-Box runs P2P applications as well.
 - This is a commodity business with a port * price flavor in the higher priced segment (otherwise they use their PC)
- **P2P CPE realization options**
 - Add on functionality to existing SOHO routers/SetTopBoxes.
 - Individual P2P endpoints like Wireless-Electronic-Paper
 - Functions required on such endpoints:
 - BitTorrent or other p2p file transfer software
 - Audio-, Video-clients with DRM
 - local storage to share stored content

- **RSDEVs** <http://www.rsdevs.com>
 - PC independent interface to SIP Phones
- **CUPHONE** <http://www.cuphone.com/skype/>
 - Skype optimized phone products
USB Phone, RJ11 to USB Phone Adaptor, Personal Phone Gateway
- **Siemens M34 USB** <http://www.my-siemens.de>
 - Wireless USB interface to cordless phones
- ...

They most times need a PC

Can not interconnect to existing Phone or SIP systems

Communities are the tool of the trade

- **No P2P System was successful without building a community!**
Nimcat was an exception, but who knows them?
- **Communities must be built by the service operator**
How suppliers like Siemens can build communities?
 - Create a spin-off?
 - Do it within the business? (independent cannibalizing division ...)
 - Engage Operators to do it? (franchising ...)
- **Tools and advantages of communities**
 - **The Tools are cheap**
Web-pages, Web-Forum, Mailing-lists, etc.
 - **Advantages**
They debug the system for free!
Assume, a price for testing a single bug is \$1000
Open Manufacturers get > 100 qualified reports per Month
This is for free! and speeds up time to maturity

Of course you need to run the system on the Net to participate!!!

References

Besides the references mentioned, there is one you might consider

**It holds an 8 Min flash movie on some history of the future
that can give you further Ideas**

- **EPIC 2014, by Robin Sloan and Matt Thompson**
The 'Evolving Personalized Information Construct'
<http://epic.makingithappen.co.uk/>